

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

JOHN E BETTYS,

Plaintiff,

v.

KEVIN QUIGLEY, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 16-cv-5076 RJB-JRC


ORDER VACATING ORDER
GRANTING MOTION TO APPOINT
COUNSEL

This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights matter has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636 (b)(1)(A) and (B) and Local Magistrate Judge Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and MJR 4. Before the Court is defendant's Objection to Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for an Appoint Counsel. Dkt 67.

The Court has reviewed defendants' Objection to Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel. Dkt 67. Because defendants did not have an opportunity to respond to Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 61), the undersigned now vacates the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 66).

1 The Court will accept for entry defendants' Proposed Response to Plaintiff's Motion for
2 an Appointed Attorney. Dkt. 67-1. The Court requests that plaintiff file a reply to defendant's
3 response no later than October 14, 2016. The reply shall be no more than five pages in length.
4 Plaintiff may also file any documents or declarations in support of his reply. Plaintiff's Motion
5 to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 61) shall be re-noted for October 14, 2016.

6 Dated this 28th day of September, 2016.

7 

8 J. Richard Creatura
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24